

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14  
15 DARRIN WILDER,

16 Defendant.  
17

Case No.: 2:16-CR-00062-LRH-EJY

**ORDER APPROVING**

Stipulation To Vacate And Continue  
Sentencing Hearing And Proposed  
Order

(First Request)

18 **Certification: This Pleading is Timely Filed**

19 The undersigned parties respectfully submit the following Stipulation and Proposed  
20 Order for the Court's consideration.

21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned  
22 parties, that the Rule 32 sentencing hearing presently set for **June 23, 2020** be **vacated and**  
23 **continued** for **no less than 60 days from June 23, 2020**, at a date and time convenient for the  
24

1 Court.

2 The parties further stipulate that the requested continuance is warranted for the  
3 following reasons:

4 1. On February 18, 2020, the Court set this matter for a Rule 32 sentencing hearing  
5 at 11:00 a.m., on June 23, 2020. ECF No. 308.

6 2. After the Court set the hearing, and on March 30, 2020, the Chief Judge for the  
7 District of Nevada entered Temporary General Order 2020-05, regarding Authorization for  
8 Video and Teleconferencing Under the CARES Act And The Exigent Circumstances Created  
9 By COVID-19 And Related Coronavirus (hereinafter “General Order”). The General Order is  
10 in effect for **90 days** from date of entry and addresses the material effect upon the functioning  
11 of the Court brought on by the current state of emergency arising from the COVID-19 and  
12 Coronavirus pandemic. The General Order finds, among other things, that for the duration of  
13 the General Order, in-person Rule 32 felony sentencing hearings cannot be conducted in the  
14 District of Nevada without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety. The General Order  
15 further provides that Rule 32 sentencing hearings may, with the consent of the defendant  
16 (upon advice of counsel), proceed by video or teleconference in individual cases, provided that  
17 the presiding Court specifically finds that to delay the matter further will cause serious harm to  
18 the interests of justice. *See also* CARES Act, H.R. 748, Public Law No. 116-136.

19 3. The defendant desires an in-person Rule 32 sentencing hearing and the parties  
20 agree that further delay to accommodate an in-person hearing will not cause serious harm to the  
21 interests of justice. Accordingly, a continuance of the Rule 32 sentencing hearing for a period of  
22 at least 60 days from June 23, 2020 – which is outside the 90-day duration of the General Order  
23 – is appropriate.

24 4. Defendant is in custody and agrees to the proposed continuance.

1           5.       This is the first request for continuance of the Rule 32 sentencing hearing.  
2       **WHEREFORE**, the parties respectfully request that the Court accept this Stipulation and  
3       enter an Order vacating the current setting of **June 23, 2020**, for the Rule 32 sentencing  
4       hearing, and continuing the hearing to a date and time convenient to the Court but **not less**  
5       **than 60 days** from June 23, 2020. The parties attach a Proposed Order for the Court's  
6       consideration.

7                 **DATED** this 24th day of April, 2020.

8  
9                                 Respectfully submitted,  
                                  NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH  
                                  United States Attorney

10                                \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
11                               CHRISTOPHER D. BAKER  
                                  Assistant United States Attorney

12  
13                                \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
14                               BRETT O. WHIPPLE  
                                  Counsel for Defendant Darrin Wilder

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DARRIN WILDER,

Defendant.

No. 2:16-CR-00062-LRH-EJY

**ORDER**

This matter coming on the parties' Stipulation to Continue Rule 32 Sentencing Hearing, the Court having considered the premises therein, and good cause showing, the Court accepts the Stipulation of the parties and finds as follows:

1. The parties agree to the continuance requested in the Stipulation;
2. Defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance;
3. The Court adopts and incorporates herein in full, District of Nevada, Temporary General Order 2020-05 of March 30, 2020, regarding Authorization for Video and Teleconferencing Under the CARES Act And The Exigent Circumstances Created By COVID-19 And Related Coronavirus (hereinafter "General Order"), including the finding that in light of the current state of emergency brought on by the COV-19 pandemic, and during the time the General Order is in effect, the Court cannot conduct an in-person Rule 32 sentencing hearing without serious risk to the health and safety of the public and the parties involved in

1 this matter;

2 4. The defendant desires an in-person Rule 32 sentencing hearing and no facts have  
3 been presented to the Court to show that further delay of the hearing will seriously harm the  
4 interests of justice in this case;

5 5. The General Order is in effect for 90 days from March 30, 2020. Accordingly,  
6 pursuant to the Stipulation, the Court will continue and set the date for Rule 32 sentencing  
7 hearing for **no less than 60 days** from June 23, 2020.

8 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

9 1. The Rule 32 sentencing hearing set for **11:00 a.m., June 23, 2020**, is

10 **VACATED and CONTINUED;**

11 2. The Rule 32 sentencing hearing in this matter will commence on **Tuesday,**  
12 **September 8, 2020 at 10:30 a.m.** in a Las Vegas courtroom to be announced at  
13 a later date.

14  
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED** this 27th day of April, 2020.  
16

17  
18 

19  
20 

---

LARRY R. HICKS  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24